	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/683,548	BURNS ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Stuart F. Baum	1638	.

All Participants:

(1) *Stuart F. Baum*.

(2) *Michelle Ludwig*.

Status of Application: *pending*

(3) _____ .

(4) _____ .

Date of Interview: *28 January 2005*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. ~~The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.~~

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative queried as to a discrepency between the stated allowed claims on the Notice of Allowability, which included claim 11, and the cancelation of claim 11 as stated in the Examiner's Amendment. To correct the discrepency, a Supplemental Notice of Allowability is being issued, deleting claim 11 from the allowed claims, as per the Examiner's Amendment. The Allowed claims as stated on the Supplemental Examiner's Amendment are claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-10, 12, 14, 18-20 (renumbered claims 1-13)..